

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/966.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad/ in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Bolton Corporation Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI NATHU RAM SHARMA PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference 74 No. of 1979

*Between*

SHRI VED PAUL SINGH, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGMENT OF M/S BOLTON  
INDUSTRIAL CORPORATION, DELHI MATHURA ROAD,  
FARIDABAD.

*Present:—*

Workman in person.

Shri R. C. Sharma, for the Management.

**AWARD**

By order No. 1/79/9896, dated 28th February, 1979, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Bolton Industrial Corporation, Delhi Mathura Road, Faridabad and its wokman Shri Ved Paul Singh, to this Tribunal, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ved Paul Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled

On receipt of the order of reference, notice were issued to the parties. The parties appeared. On the pleadings of the parties, following issue was framed on 18th June, 1979 :—

1. Whether the retrenchment was legal and justified?

And the case was fixed for the evidence of the management. At this stage the parties reached a settlement. According to which the management shall pay to the workman a sum of Rs. 1700/- which shall be deemed full and final settlement of the dispute as well as in respect of all the rights of the workman. The workman shall have no right of reinstatement or re-employment. I, therefore, give may award in terms of the settlement that the workman is entitled to receive a sum of Rs 1700/- from the management on payment whereof the dispute shall be deemed as having been settled. And the workman shall have no other claim or rights.

Dated the 9th January, 1980.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Endst. No. 39, dated the 15th January, 1980.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the I. D. Act,

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 11(112)-3 Lab-79/967.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Hada Steel Products Ltd., Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI NATHU RAM SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL  
TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 96 of 1979

*between*

SHRI OM DUTT SHARMA, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. HADA  
STEEL PRODUCTS LIMITED, PLOT NO. 3, SECTOR 15, FARIDABAD

*Present:*

Shri R. L. Sharma, for the workman.

Shri S. L. Gupta, for the management.

## AWARD

1. By order No. FD/37-79/12261, dated 16th March, 1979 the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Hada Steel Products Limited Plot No. 3, Sector 15, Faridabad and its workman Shri Om Dutt Sharma, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Om Dutt Sharma, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

2. On receipt of the orders of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared but on the adjourned date the workman did not appear and the case was dismissed in default after calling thrice. The representative for the workman moved an application to set aside the order of dismissal in default. The management replied and filed written statement. Then the case was fixed for filing rejoinder by the workman who filed the same. On the pleadings of the parties, following issues were framed on 15th October, 1979 :—

1. Whether the domestic enquiry and its finding is proper and fair?
2. Whether the termination of services of the workman was justified and in order?
3. Relief.

And the case was fixed for the evidence of the management. On the last date of hearing, neither the workman appeared, nor his representative and after calling the case thrice it was dismissed in default at 3.00 p.m. I, therefore, give my award that theret is no dispute between the parties.

The 9th January, 1980.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 38, dated the 15th January, 1979.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/968.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s The Palwal Primary Co-operative Land Development Bank Ltd., G. T. Road Palwal.—

BEFORE SHRI NATHU RAM SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 514 of 1978

*between*

SHRI KABIR AHMED WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S THE PALWAL  
PRIMARY CO-OPERATIVE LAND DEVELOPMENT BANK LTD., G. T. ROAD PALWAL.

*Present:—*

Shri S. R. Gupta, for the workman.

Shri Dhir Singh, for the management.

## AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/29-78, dated 24th May, 1978, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s The Palwal Primary Co-operative Land Development Bank Ltd. G. T. Road, Palwal to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Kabir Ahmed was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

2. On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties, following issues were framed on 9th March, 1979:—

1. Whether the termination of services of the workman was justified and in order?
2. Relief.

And the case was fixed for the evidence of the management. There after the management defaulted in appearance, hence again notices were issued to them. They did not appear despite service. Then ex parte proceedings were ordered against him and the case was fixed for ex parte evidence of the workman. The workman obtained five adjournments but adduced no evidence despite a direction on 15th November, 1979 that if the workman did not adduce any evidence on the next date i. e. 27th December, 1979 his evidence shall be deemed as having been closed. The workman even thereafter did not lead any evidence. The case was therefore, dismissed in default as the workman seemed uninterested in pursuing his dispute. I, therefore, give my award that there is no dispute between the parties.

Dated the 10th January, 1979.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

— — — — —  
No. 37, dated the 15th January, 1980

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/971.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Anupma Rubber Pvt. Palwal.

BEFORE SHRI GURMESH PARKASH, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 62 of 1979

SHRI PARBHU DAYAL, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. ANUPMA  
RUBBER PRIVATE LIMITED, 38, MILE STONE, MATHURA ROAD, PALWAL

Present :—

Shri Bijender Pal, for the workman.

None, for the management.

#### AWARD

This reference No. 62 of 1979 has been referred to this Court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana, — vide his order No.FD/98/79, under Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, for adjudication the dispute existing between Mr. Parbhu Dayal workman and M/s. Anupma Rubber Private Limited, 38, Mile Stone, Mathura Road, Palwal. The terms of the reference was :—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Parbhu Dayal was justified and in order ?  
If not, to what relief he is entitled ?

After receiving this reference notices were issued to both the parties the representative of the workman appeared before this Court with his Authority Letter on behalf the workman. He made a statement before this court that this management has reinstated the workman and now their remains no dispute to be settled.

In these circumstances I thus relying on the statement of Shri Bijender Pal authorised representative of the Workman hold that the demand raised by the workman against the management leading to this reference has been duly satisfied. There is now no dispute remains to be adjudicated between the parties. Hence I answer this reference while returning the award in these terms.

Dated, the 18th January, 1980.

GURMESH PARKASH,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 153, dated 10th January, 1980.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

GURMESH PARKASH,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

H. L. GUGNANI, Secy.

### HARYANA STATE LOTTERIES

The 30th January, 1980

No. DOL/HR/80/18573.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to select the following persons for the supervision of the 12th Draw of Haryana Janta Lotteries held on 30th January, 1980.

1. Mrs. T. Kulwant Singh,  
105, Sector 18-A,  
Chandigarh.
2. Mrs. Vijay Laxmi Mittal,  
House No. 706,  
Sector 8-B,  
Chandigarh.
3. Mrs. Pushpa Naryanan,  
House No. 3046,  
Sector 19-D,  
Chandigarh.
4. Shri R. K. Rajwanshi,  
Accounts Officer,  
Office of A. G. Haryana,  
Chandigarh.
5. Shri A. L. Puri,  
Accounts Officer,  
Office of A. G. Haryana,  
Chandigarh.

The 6th February, 1980

No. DOL/HR/80/18592.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to select the following persons for the supervision of 13th Janta Lottery Draw held on 6th February, 1980.

1. Mrs. Aruna Mathur,  
House No. 691,  
Sector-7,  
Chandigarh.
2. Mrs. K. Anand,  
House No. 127 (1st Floor)  
Sector-10A,  
Chandigarh.
3. Mrs. Laaj Parsad,  
House No. 348,  
Sector 35A,  
Chandigarh.
4. Shri V. P. Dewan,  
Accounts Officer,  
Office of Accountant-General, Haryana,  
Chandigarh.
5. Shri G. N. Rajguru,  
Head of Hindi Department,  
Punjab University,  
Chandigarh.